

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION ONE
October 16, 2006

D048800 Lingo v. Ewing

Appellant has filed to file a brief after notice given pursuant to California Rules of Court, rule 17(a). The appeal is dismissed.

D046148 People v. Lewis

D048525 In re Lewis on Habeas Corpus

The petition for rehearing is denied.

D047883 People v. Roberts

The judgment is affirmed. Huffman, Acting P.J., We Concur: McDonald, J., Irion, J.

D048353 In re Christopher L., a Juvenile

The judgment is affirmed. CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION. McDonald, J.;
We Concur: McConnell, P.J., Irion, J.

D048447 San Diego County Health and Human Services Agency v. Christopher A.

On our own motion, rehearing is granted in this matter pending the decision of the Supreme Court in Conservatorship of Ben C. (2004) 119 Cal.App.4th 710 (review granted Sep. 15, 2004 (\$126664)). No further briefing is required.

D048706 In re Emileigh R., a Juvenile

Appellant has failed to file a brief after notice given pursuant to California Rules of Court, rules 17(a)(1), 37.3(b)(5), and 37.4(a)(2). The appeal is dismissed.

**D049221 Manpower Temporary Services v. Workers' Compensation Appeals Board
and Salvador Rodriguez, Jr.**

The petition is denied.

D049434 McManus v. Linda Vista Planning Committee

Because appellant did not timely pay the filing fee, the appeal is dismissed. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 1(c)(5)).

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION ONE
October 17, 2006

D049064 S.A. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/San Diego County Health and Human Services Agency

Let a writ issue directing the superior court to vacate its order setting a hearing under section 366.26 and enter a new order providing S.A. with six months of reunification services. (§§ 361.5, subd. (a); 16501; 16501.1; see also *In re Dino E.*, *supra*, 6 Cal.App.4th at pp. 1776-1779). This decision is final immediately as to this court. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 24(b)(3)).
McConnell, P.J.; We Concur: Huffman, J., Aaron, J.

D048828 In re the Adoption of Claire A.

The appeal is dismissed. Irion, J.; We Concur: McConnell, P.J., Nares, J.

Court convened at 10:00 a.m.

Present: The Honorable Patricia Benke, Acting Presiding Justice, and The Honorable Associate Justices Richard Huffman and Gilbert Nares
Clerk: D. Moore

D047702 Paulson v. Abdelnour

Cause called on merits. David J. Karlin, Deputy City Attorney argued for appellant, Abdelnour. Kathryn E. Kovacs, Esq. argued for amicus curiae, appellant, United States of America. Charles S. LiMandri, Esq. argued for rpi-appellant, San Diegans for The Mt. Soledad National War Memorial. Robert P. Otilie, Esq. argued for appellant, Shelby. Rees Lloyd, Esq. argued for amicus curiae, appellant, The American Legion. James E. McElroy, Esq. argued for respondent. Mr. Karlin replied. Mr. LiMandri replied. Cause submitted.

Court adjourned at 12:00 noon.

D049165 In re Wallach on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D049167 In re Rodriguez on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D049291 In re Phanbandith on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D049444 Berardi v. Superior Court of San Diego County/People

All trial court proceedings are stayed pending further order of this court. Real party in interest is directed to file an informal response to the petition on or before October 31, 2006.

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION ONE
October 18, 2006

D049311 Ruiz et al. v. United Education Institute

The petition for writ of supersedeas is denied.

D048613 In re Y.L., a Juvenile

The judgment is affirmed. Haller, J.; We Concur: Huffman, Acting P.J., Nares, J.

D047794 Mendoza v. Lane et al.

The petition for rehearing is denied.

D047794 In re Rose M., a Juvenile

The petition is denied. The request for stay is denied. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: Haller, Acting P.J., Aaron, J.

D048410 In re Analeesa, a Juvenile

Affirmed. Haller, J.; We Concur: Nares, Acting, P.J., O'Rourke, J.

D049204 In re Pasillas on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D049503 Williams v. Superior Court of San Diego County/People

The petition is denied. The stay issued October 4, 2006 is vacated.

D049611 Ford v. The Superior Court of San Diego County/People

The petition is denied.

D048876 In re Hudson on Habeas Corpus

The petition is denied.

D046358 In re the Marriage of Bowen

D047063 In re the Marriage of Bowen

(Consolidated cases) The petition for rehearing and for modification of the opinion is denied.

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION ONE
October 19, 2006

D048484 People v. Williams

The judgment is affirmed. Huffman, J.; We Concur: McConnell, P.J., McIntyre, J.

D046438 People v. Ranson

Affirmed. Irion, J.; We Concur: Nares, Acting P.J., McDonald, J.

D045571 Wedbush et al. v. Pacific Bell Telephone Company et al.

The opinion filed September 19, 2006, is modified. No change in judgment. The petitions for rehearing are denied.

D046448 In re David S., a Juvenile

Affirmed. Irion, J.; I Concur: McConnell, P.J. Concurring in the Result: Benke, J.

COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT
DIVISION ONE
October 20, 2006

D046074 People v. Lynch

The judgment is affirmed. O'Rourke, J.; We Concur: McDonald, Acting P.J., McIntyre, J.

D045941 People v. Ramirez

The judgment and sentence of the trial court are affirmed. CERTIFIED FOR PUBLICATION.
Aaron, J.; I Concur: Haller, J.; I Concur in the Result: Benke, Acting P.J. (opinion)

D049634 Lenora v. Superior Court of San Diego County/People

The petition is denied.

**D049274 Renae N. et al. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/San Diego County
Health and Human Services Agency**

No timely amended petition for writ relief has been filed for Renae N. The notice of intent is deemed to be abandoned. The case is dismissed.

**D049461 Priscilla S. v. Superior Court of San Diego County/San Diego County Health
and Human Services Agency**

The attorney for petitioner Priscilla S. has notified the court that a petition for writ of mandate under California Rules of Court, rule 38.1 and 1436.5 will not be filed because there are no viable issues for writ review. The case is dismissed.